February 23, 2017

Dear Parent:

Dulles Middle School is sharing this information about the district and your child's campus with you as part of its obligations under the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (NCLB).

Federal Report Cards for the state, the district, and each of the district's campuses are now available on the district's website at this link: <a href="http://www.fortbendisd.com/dms">http://www.fortbendisd.com/dms</a> or are also available on the Texas Education Agency's website at:

http://tea.texas.gov/About TEA/Laws and Rules/NCLB and ESEA/NCLB-ESEA Resources/Federal Report Card/.

Information on these report cards includes:

**Part I:** Percent Tested and Student Achievement by Proficiency Level – Provides the State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results and participation for each subject area and grade level tested.

Participation reports also include reports of the participation of Children with Individualized Education Plans (IEPs) by assessment type.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) — Provides the AMO outcomes and data table of STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the accountability subset. This section also includes participation rates on STAAR for reading/English and mathematics, use of alternative assessments, plus four-year and five-year graduation rates.

**Part III: Priority and Focus Schools** – Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading and mathematics and graduation rates. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets.

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data – Provides information on teacher quality in three parts.

Part A – Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held – Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary teachers in the Texas.

Part B and C – Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/Low Poverty Summary Reports – Percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools.

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE) – Provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in Texas during the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation.

**Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results** – Provides most recent NAEP results for Texas showing reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

If you have difficulty accessing the information from the website, hard copies of the reports are available at the district or campus office. If you have questions about the information, please contact Roberta LaValley DMS Campus Assessment Coordinator at 281-634-5750.

Sincerely,

Dee Knox, Principal

**Dulles Middle School** 

# **Texas Education Agency** 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: DULLES MIDDLE Campus ID: 079907041 **District Name: FORT BEND ISD** 

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability

STAAR Percent A				African American tory Standa		c White		Asian			Specia		ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
Grade 6															,	
Reading	2016 68% 2015 73%			70% 84%	71% 81%	89% 93%	*	84% 87%	*	67% 93%	20% 42%	65% 78%	49% 43%		75% 84%	-
Mathematics	2016 719 2015 729			71% 70%	76% 71%	91% 91%	*	94% 87%	*	73% 100%	26% 35%	76% 67%	65% 37%		82% 79%	-
Grade 7																
Reading	2016 69% 2015 72%			73% 80%	77% 80%	94% 91%	*	87% 87%	+	100% 82%	36% 48%	72% 75%	37% 43%		81% 83%	-
Mathematics	2016 68% 2015 68%			68% 80%	79% 76%	89% 89%	*	88% 93%	*	100% 73%	30% 43%	69% 74%	49% 62%		82% 84%	-
Writing	2016 68% 2015 69%			73% 85%	71% 79%	94% 86%	*	84% 89%	*	100% 91%	31% 45%	65% 75%	24% 38%		76% 82%	-
Grade 8																
Reading	2016 85% 2015 84%			90% 87%	90% 92%	96% 91%	*	96% 92%	-	100% 86%	50% 45%	88% 86%	67% 56%		93% 87%	-
Mathematics	2016 80% 2015 71%			88% 79%	83% 80%	93% 87%	*	95% 92%	-	100%	24% 32%	86% 76%	69% 70%		91% 81%	-
Science	2016 73% 2015 67%			74% 64%	81% 72%	91% 85%	*	94% 88%	-	100%	44% 31%	79% 66%	58% 56%		86% 77%	-
Social Studies	2016 62% 2015 61%			75% 75%	72% 74%	85% 81%	*	87% 88%	-	92% 100%	42% 45%	70% 72%	39% 56%		81% 80%	-
End of Course English I	2016 63%	% <b>7</b> 2%	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-
Algebra I	2016 76% 2015 77%			* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	-	*	*	100% 100%	-		100% 100%	
All Grades																
All Subjects	2016 74% 2015 73%			76% 78%	78% 79%	91% 89%	94% 100%	90% 89%	100%	93% 85%	33% 40%	74% 75%	51% 50%		83% 82%	-
Reading	2016 729 2015 749			78% 84%	79% 84%	93% 92%	83% 100%	89% 89%	*	90% 88%	34% 44%	75% 80%	50% 47%		83% 85%	-
Mathematics	2016 75% 2015 73%			76% 77%	80% 77%	91% 90%	100% 100%	93% 92%	*	92% 82%	28% 37%		61% 55%		85% 83%	-
Writing	2016 68% 2015 68%			73% 85%	71% 79%	94% 86%	*	84% 89%	*	100% 91%	31% 45%		24% 38%		76% 82%	-
Science	2016 77% 2015 75%			74% 64%	81% 72%	91% 85%	*	94% 88%	- -	100%	44% 31%		58% 56%		86% 77%	- -
Social Studies	2016 76% 2015 74%			75% 75%	72% 74%	85% 81%	*	87% 88%	- -	92% 100%	42% 45%		39% 56%	81% 79%	81% 80%	- -

## STAAR Percent at Final Level II or Above

#### **All Grades**

		<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>		Africa				rican		Pacific	Two or More S	•					
All Subjects	2016 2015	42%	53% 51%	tCampus 54% 47%	38% 31%	апні <b>ѕра</b> 399 379	65%	6 50	1%		lander I 50% *	64% 48%	<b>Ed</b> 17% 20%	38% 34%	17% 11%	Female 58% 49%	51% 46%	ligrant - -
Reading	2016 2015		53% 52%	53% 50%	41% 38%	40% 39%				61% 58%	*	63% 58%	15% 19%	36% 37%	10% 7%	59% 54%	48% 46%	- -
Mathematics	2016 2015		53% 50%	57% 48%	38% 29%	41% 37%				76% 70%	*	61% 48%	15% 20%	42% 35%	29% 20%	59% 48%	54% 49%	-
Writing	2016 2015		48% 44%	56% 50%	44% 38%	44% 36%				64% 66%	*	76% 45%	16% 20%	39% 30%	5% 3%	65% 62%	49% 40%	-
Science	2016 2015		55% 50%	55% 45%	34% 27%	40% 38%				73% 58%	-	62%	24% 24%	40% 33%	22% 7%	57% 42%	52% 47%	-
Social Studies	2016 2015		59% 56%	45% 36%	28% 17%	28% 33%				60% 52%	-	62% 14%	25% 17%	27% 28%	11% 4%	46% 33%	45% 38%	-
STAAR Percent a	ıt Leve	l III Ac	dvance	d														
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		26% 24%	26% 21%	13% 9%	14% 16%				38% 33%	25%	34% 18%	3% 5%	16% 13%	2% 3%	28% 22%	23% 21%	- -
Reading	2016 2015		25% 24%	25% 24%	16% 13%	16% 21%				32% 30%	*	35% 18%	1% 6%	16% 15%	0% 1%	29% 26%	22% 23%	- -
Mathematics	2016 2015		29% 26%	28% 23%	13% 9%	16% 13%				45% 39%	*	27% 24%	2% 2%	18% 13%	4% 8%	29% 22%	28% 24%	-
Writing	2016 2015		21% 15%	22% 13%	13% 2%	12% 7%				28% 25%	*	48% 9%	6% 5%	14% 4%	0% 0%	29% 19%	16% 8%	-
Science	2016 2015		24% 22%	25% 19%	7% 4%	12% 18%				41% 33%	-	38%	8% 10%	12% 14%	8% 4%	25% 20%	24% 18%	-
Social Studies	2016 2015		33% 30%	23% 17%	11% 8%	13% 11%				39% 28%	-	38% 14%	4% 0%	14% 12%	0% 0%	25% 17%	21% 18%	- -
STAAR Participat	tion (A	II Grad	des)															
All Tests		20° 20°				100% 100%	100% 100%	98% 100%	100% 100%			100% 100%		100% 100%		% 99% % 100		
Reading		20 <sup>-</sup>				99% 100%	100% 99%	99% 100%	100% 100%		* 5 100%		100% 99%	100% 99%	100° 99%			
Mathematics		20° 20°				100% 100%		99% 100%	100% 100%			100% 100%			1009			
Writing		20° 20°				100% 98%	100% 99%	100% 98%	* 100%	100% 100%		100% 100%		100% 99%	100°			
Science		20°				100% 100%	100% 100%	96% 100%	*	100% 100%		100% 100%		99% 100%	100° 100°			
Social Studies		20° 20°				100% 100%	99% 100%	96% 100%	*	100% 100%		100% 100%	92% 100%	99% 100%	100° 100°			
STAAR Participat	tion Re	sults	by Ass	essment	Type for	Studen	ts Serv	ed in S <sub>l</sub>	pecial	Educat	ion Sett	tings (A	II Grade	es)				
Reading Tests % of Participants		.1	2016	98% 9	8% <b>99</b> %	<b>%</b> 96%	100%	100%	*	100%	% -	*	99%	97%	100%	97%	100%	-
% STAAR/EOC		NO	2016	13% 1	7% <b>15</b> %	<b>6</b> 11%	11%	27%	*	14%	, o -	*	15%	11%	14%	13%	15%	-
% STAAR/EOC Accommodations % STAAR Alte % of Non-Partici	rnate2		2016 2016 2016	11% 1	6% <b>69</b> % 5% <b>15</b> %	<b>6</b> 18%		68% 5% 0%	* *	57% 29% 0%	, o -	* *	69% 15% 1%	79% 8% 3%	86% 0% 0%	67% 17% 3%	71% 14% 0%	- - -
Mathematics Tests % of Participants % STAAR/EOC	3	No	2016 2016		9% <b>99</b> % 5% <b>9</b> %		100% 7%	100% 23%		100% 0%		*	99% 9%	97% 3%	100% 0%	97% 7%	100% 11%	- -

Accommodations % STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	75%	69%	75%	71%	79%	73%	*	71%	-	*	75%	87%	100%	73%	75%	-
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	12%	16%	15%	18%	14%	5%	*	29%	-	*	15%	8%	0%	17%	14%	-
% of Non-Participants	2016	1%	1%	1%	4%	0%	0%	*	0%	-	*	1%	3%	0%	3%	0%	-

<sup>&#</sup>x27;\*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

Performance Status - State	All Students	African sAmerican	Hispanic	White	American Indian						ELL al(Current & Monitored			Total Eligible	
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	0070	Y	0070	Y	Y	N	Y		8	9	89
Mathematics	Ý	Ý	Ý	Ý		Ý		Ý	Ý	N	Ý		8	9	89
Writing	Ý	Ý	Ý	Ý		Ý		•	Ý	N	N		6	8	75
Science	Ϋ́	Ý	Ϋ́	Ý		Ý			Ý		Ϋ́		7	7	100
Social Studies	Ý	Ý	Ý	Ý		Ý			Ý		Ý		7	7	100
Total	·	•	•			•			•				36	40	90
Performance Status - Federa	al														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N	N	N	Υ	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν			n/a			
Mathematics	Υ	N	N	Υ	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N			n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ		Υ		Υ	Υ	Υ		Υ	9	9	100
Mathematics	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ		Υ		Υ	Υ	Υ		Υ	9	9	100
Total													18	18	100
Federal Graduation Status ( Graduation Target Met Reason Code ***	Target: S	ee Reason (	Codes)										0	0	
Total													0	0	
District: Met Federal Limits Reading	on Altern	ative Asses	sments												
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Mathematics															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Total															
Overall Total													54	58	93

<sup>+</sup> Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Current & Monitored)	ELL (Current)
Performance Rates Reading # at Level II Satisfactory	1,278	263	272	277	**	415	*	43	343	29	148	n/a
Standard Total Tests	1,485	334	337	297	**	460	*	48	447	86	214	103

<sup>&#</sup>x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%

c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal

b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

	All	African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Econ	Special	ELL (Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
% at Level II Satisfactory	86%	79%	81%	93%	83%	90%	*	90%	77%	34%	69%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	1,289	259	274	272	**	431	*	44	352	24	166	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	1,487	335	337	297	**	461	*	48	447	86	215	103
% at Level II Satisfactory	87%	77%	81%	92%	100%	93%	*	92%	79%	28%	77%	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	432	84	89	95	*	137	*	21	103	10	37	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	520	113	121	101	*	158	*	21	149	30	69	31
% at Level II Satisfactory	83%	74%	74%	94%	*	87%	*	100%	69%	33%	54%	n/a
Standard												
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	424	82	90	93	*	145	-	**	118	10	40	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	484	109	107	101	*	153	-	**	145	22	50	26
% at Level II Satisfactory	88%	75%	84%	92%	*	95%	-	100%	81%	45%	80%	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	398	82	79	88	*	136	-	**	105	9	32	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	484	109	106	102	*	153	-	**	145	21	50	26
% at Level II Satisfactory	82%	75%	75%	86%	*	89%	-	92%	72%	43%	64%	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessme		0.55	054	000	**	400	*	40	404	0.5	,	400
Number Participating	1,562	355	354	309	**	486	*	49	481	95	n/a	136
Total Students	1,568	357	354	312		487	*	49	482	95	n/a	136
Participation Rate	100%	99%	100%	99%	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asses		250	252	200	**	400	*	40	404	0.5	- /-	404
Number Participating	1,562	356	353	309	**	486	*	49	481	95	n/a	134
Total Students	1,566	357	353	312		486	*	49	482	95	n/a	134
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	^	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Ever HS)	ELL (Current)
Federal Graduation Rates			•								` ,	,
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	luation Rate	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 201	15								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	luation Rate	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 201	14								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rat	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a

# District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Number Proficient n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a Mathematics **Number Proficient** n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

## Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.5%	1.0%
Bachelors	62.1	78.1%	71.7%	74.7%
Masters	17.4	21.9%	26.7%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	1.1%	0.6%

### Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty **Summary Reports**

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

#### **Low Poverty**

## Core Academic Subject Areas

		General Education	Special Education	Total
Total Number of Teachers		66	5	71
Total Number of Classes		431	32	463
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	431	32	463
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
• • • •	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

#### Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of 1	Teachers
	Elem (PK-6)	secondary (7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	0
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0
Non-renewable	0	0
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Numbei	r of Teachers
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	1	1
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

### Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

			%	% At or Above	% At or Above	% At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2 2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
	•	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12

				%	%	%
			%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	<b>Below Basic</b>	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
	-	Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

<b>Grade</b> Grade 4	<b>Subject</b> Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment